

1735 New York Ave. NW Washington, DC 20006 Tel: 202.785.2324 Fax: 202.628.0448

Web: www.acsa-arch.org

INITIAL SUBMISSIONS (ABSTRACT) PEER-REVIEW

Review of Submission:

Please enter your responses to the following questions based on the submitted abstract in review:

- 1. Please indicate if there is a conflict of interest in relation to the review of the abstract. Please select Yes or No. (conditional logic) If Yes, no further action and the submission is no longer in review for this individual.
- 2. Does the abstract indicate a <u>new and original contribution</u> to the field? *Please rank 1-4 where* 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.
- 3. As presented in the abstract, is the <u>thesis</u> –pursuit, intent, claim, question–clearly articulated? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 4. Does the author(s) identify a <u>method</u> to support the thesis? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 5. Is the <u>overall content</u> of a high quality so that the work is compelling, well-crafted and coherent? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 6. Given your review of the abstract, is the author(s) <u>well-positioned to further develop</u> the paper and/or project successfully? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 7. Overall, would you accept this submission for presentation at the ACSA Annual Meeting?
 - a. Please select Yes or No and provide a brief explanation.

Comments for the Author:

Please provide constructive comments for the author(s) this submission in review:

- 1. **Argument:** Identify and assess the thesis or claim within the abstract. Is it clearly articulated? How might this be strengthened? *Please elaborate*.
- 2. **Approach:** Evaluate the method used to develop the thesis and corresponding research. Is it effective? What are the successes and weaknesses? *Please elaborate*.
- 3. **Context:** Comment on the author's knowledge of the intellectual context that surrounds the work. Are there other resources to be suggested? *Please elaborate*.
- 4. **Organization:** Comment on the overall clarity and organization of the abstract. *Please elaborate.*

SECOND FULL PAPER OR PROJECT PEER-REVIEW

Review of Submission:

Please enter your responses to the following questions based on the submitted abstract in review:

- 1. Please indicate if there is a conflict of interest in relation to the review of the abstract. Please select Yes or No. (conditional logic) If Yes, no further action and the submission is no longer in review for this individual.
- 2. Does the paper/project make a <u>new and original contribution</u> to the field? *Please rank 1- 4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 3. Is the <u>thesis</u> –pursuit, intent, claim, question– clearly articulated in the paper/project? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 4. Does the author convincingly develop a <u>method</u>, in a scholarly and/or applied manner, to support the thesis? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 5. Is the <u>overall content</u>, including writing and imagery, of a high quality so that the work is compelling, well-crafted and coherent? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 6. Is the background for the paper/project appropriately stated and cited? *Please rank 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.*
- 7. Given your review, has the author(s) <u>developed</u> the paper/project successfully? *Please rank* 1-4 where 4 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree.
- 8. Overall, would you <u>accept</u> this paper/project for presentation at the ACSA Annual Meeting? Please select Yes or No and provide a brief explanation.
- 9. Although not required, does the author engage the specific <u>theme</u> of the upcoming ACSA Annual Meeting? *Please select Yes or N*

Comments for the Author:

Please provide constructive comments for the author(s) this full submission. Suggestions concerning the articulation of the thesis, the appropriateness of the method, sufficiency of the context and the overall clarity and organization of the submission may be most helpful. *Please elaborate*.