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Architecture, urban studies, planning, and allied fields are rife with extractive, colonial, racist, and otherwise harmful research activities that are complicit in, or actively support, white supremacy. Identifying a positive vision of research that supports racially just outcomes and differentiating it from efforts that simply study racialized difference are important steps for institutions seeking to better support anti-racist research (which may be described using a range of descriptors including e.g., ‘abolitionist,’ ‘émancipatory,’ or ‘decolonial’). Our research team conducted a mixed-methods study to identify: 1) characteristics and practices common across scholarly research that explicitly aims to advance racial justice, 2) institutional barriers to research that supports racially just outcomes, and 3) best practices to enable and support research practices and projects that advance racial justice. We identify foundational and supporting characteristics of anti-racist research as well as key challenges and supports for academics seeking to develop anti-racist research and pedagogy, resulting in preliminary guidelines for research.

METHODS
We began with an extensive review of research and practice in urban studies and planning, architecture, and allied fields (e.g., human geography, social epidemiology) using the following search terms: anti-racist, anti-racism, critical race theory/critical anti-racist theory, methodology, research approaches, abolitionist, decolonizing, anticolonial, racial justice, and design justice. In addition to published research, we isolated 1) mission and vision statements from long-standing and credible labs, centers, and other institutions engaged in anti-racist and racially just research efforts; and 2) anti-racist or decolonial projects identified as models by these institutions, as well as those identified by members of our research collective. The field scan detailed above was used to identify key informants. As of this writing, we have conducted fourteen semi-structured interviews with senior scholars and researchers in the field.1

- We asked interviewees to explain their methods and motivations for anti-racist research efforts;
- We asked interviewees to cross-check the themes we identified in the landscape scan of anti-racist and decolonial research projects;
- We asked about institutional as well as disciplinary challenges and enablers of research with anti-racist/decolonial/abolitionist/racially just commitments.

In this paper, we share the results of our field scan and the themes and concepts we isolated in this body of work and include material from interviews with architects, landscape architects, and environmental designers that align with these themes.
Studies, Postcolonial Theory, rather than on simply articulating damage to communities. Alternative theories of change that rely on desire and complexity of racial, social, and cultural reparation through the process and communities. Design as Protest champions the radical vision of architecture and design as tools of oppression.”

One example of a group of designers operationalizing this theory is Design as Protest. The group, organized by BIPOC designers, describes themselves as “a collective of designers mobilizing a strategy to dismantle the privilege and power structures that use architecture and design as tools of oppression.” They go on to say that they “exist to hold our profession accountable in reversing the violence and injustice that architecture, design, and urban planning practices have inflicted upon Black people and communities. Design as Protest champions the radical vision of racial, social, and cultural reparation through the process and outcomes of design.” Design as Protest’s theory of change is to use design as a way to create new futures in an anti-racist world, a goal for which describing racist outcomes is inadequate. Design as Protest has ten demands, reproduced below, which help them take action towards their theory of change.

1. Divest & reallocate police funding.
2. Cease the implementation of hostile architecture & landscapes.
3. Abolish carceral spaces.
4. Restructure design’s relationship to power, capital & our labor.
5. Center community leadership in design & planning processes.
6. Create, protect & reclaim public space through liberatory planning & policy.
7. Cultivate anti-racist visions for affordable & just neighborhoods.
9. Create anti-racist models of design education, training & licensing.
10. Center community leadership in design & planning processes.

These demands point towards the importance of a clearly articulated theory of change, that centers Black, Brown, Indigenous, and Asian agency even as it works towards abolitionist goals. Design as Protest has developed something called, The Index, which they describe as “a tool for architects, designers, planners, policy makers, and community activists committed to taking action towards identifying and dismantling systemic racism.” The stated goal of The Index is “to achieve justice and liberation within design institutions by holding them accountable to their anti-racist commitments. It provides a visual way to track accountability and resources for guiding concrete actions.”

Whether it is an index, manifesto, toolkit, guide, manual, these documents describing guiding principles are common to anti-racist design researchers because they help to articulate their theory of change. Using actionable examples from real world projects provides pathways for moving toward an anti-racist future. WAI Think Tank’s A Manual of Anti-Racist Architecture Education, for example, is described as a work of “anti-capitalist realism that acknowledges the unsustainable character of a knowledge and material economy made possible via the inhumane occupation of Indigenous land and the brutal materialization of anti-Black racism and its aftermath.”
Figure 1. Excerpt from WAI Architecture Think Tank’s *Manual of Anti-Racist Architectural Education*, p. 6-7.
Figure 2. “Weaving Themes” and an image of the 1968 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial Exhibition at MIT that opened a conversation about our departmental culture. Both featured in Delia Wendel, Dayna Cunningham, Dasjon Jordan, Géraud Bablon, Catherine D’Ignazio, Kevin Lee, and Taina McField, eds. 2021. A Teach-In for Racial Justice at DUSP. MIT Department of Urban Studies and Planning.
BlackSpace Urbanist Collective, a group of Black urbanists, created a manifesto that outlines their theory of change for protecting and creating Black spaces, which includes principles such as: “move at the speed of trust”; “center lived experience”; “celebrate, catalyze, and amplify Black joy” and “plan with, design with.” According to BlackSpace Urbanist Collective, this manifesto is intended to guide their approach to a range of planning and engagement activities in Black communities. These principles can and should also inform approaches for conducting anti-racist research in planning and design.

**Adopt an explanatory theory or framework that understands racialized difference as the product of social, rather than biological or otherwise “natural,” causes.**

This is seen, for example, in ecosocial theory which calls attention to how social and environmental factors that are shaped by planners and designers, such as inequitable living and working conditions, construct race through the production of disparities. The Design Justice + Designing Spaces (DJDS) toolkit is an example. According to their website “the toolkit was inspired by questions related to whether and how the architecture and design of justice spaces, such as courthouses and correctional facilities, perpetuated the punitive orientation of the current justice system.” They ask us all to think “What would justice buildings look like if accountability, healing, and transformation were the goal?” DJDS focuses on the criminal justice system as producing racialized outcomes.

Many of these themes also featured prominently in a ‘teach-in’ organized by DUSP faculty over the first five weeks of the Fall 2020 semester, including several of the authors of this paper (see Figure 2). This moment of deep pedagogical commitment on the part of students and faculty identified how racial justice must inform planning, “as much action as idea.”

**SUPPORTING THEMES**

**Work towards reconciliation and reparation.**

Develop research products that support those injured by racial injustice in obtaining what they need to heal from and redress the injury (i.e., reparations).

For example, critical race theorists recognize that the law has simultaneously oppressive and reparative potential. An academic researcher in the field of landscape architecture described racial justice on a “recognition, reconciliation, reparation spectrum,” and described how research projects can help advance these goals:

"Reconciliation is a power thing, to use the process as a way of empowering the community either with tools or connections or networks or information that they can use to gain resources to have more power and more voice in changes that are happening in their community. Reparations, we have not gotten to."  

**Take seriously racist structures of power.**

Structural racism in the world drives racialized difference—anti-racist research should use reparative practices in order to shift power structures that maintain racialized difference.

Black feminist theory not only emphasizes the social construction of race, class, and gender, but offers an analyses of these as the product of interlocking systems of oppression—challenging additive models of oppression and fostering inclusive thinking about other oppressions. A basic tenet of Black feminist theory is Collins’s theorization of the “matrix of domination,” which refers to “how... intersecting oppressions, for example issues of race and gender, or of sexuality and nation... are actually organized” and the ways in which “structural, disciplinary, hegemonic and interpersonal domains of power reappear across quite different forms of oppression.”

**Be transparent in disclosing how the project’s methodological traditions informs the work.**

In many cases, this means adopting research or methodological traditions that center lived experience, use decolonial methods and data sources, and use heterodox types of data and ways of knowing.

An academic researcher in the field of architecture emphasized the importance of acknowledging different forms of data and ways of knowing in doing racially just research:

The idea that knowledge is produced in a particular institution or the academy and it’s in a book or it’s in some paper is really in a sense very biased. It is a biased way of looking at the world. And that’s another problem with doing this work, is often the research sources are discounted because they are oral or they are documented in music rather than in something else. It doesn’t come in a form in which they consider legitimized and that in and of itself excludes certain perspectives and ways of knowing and seeing the world.

Tuiwai Smith writes about how the term ‘research’ itself is strongly associated with colonial knowledge traditions, particularly from the perspective of people who experienced colonization. Reclaiming control over Indigenous peoples’ ways of knowing is necessary for decolonized knowledge production; this implies methodologies that “approach cultural protocols, values and behaviors as an integral part of methodology.”

**Take context and history seriously.**

Context and history are core to understandings of differential racialization, a key tenet of CRT. Critical race theorists are anti-essentialist, drawing attention to race as historical, produced in relationship to law and political economy. This includes describing the limits of researchers’ understanding of topic, place, and population as well as understanding context and history as filtered through the positionality of the research team.
Identify agency.
Research centered on marginalized communities uplifts desire, assets, and agency, instead of taking a “damage-based” approach that reduces communities to their problems.26 Indeed, research centered on dominant groups clarifies their agency as well, e.g. in the creation or continuation of racialized difference.27 It is for these reasons as well that postcolonial theorists emphasize the “subaltern” and acts of resistance by those at the margins.28

In many cases, this may involve introducing additional principles. Developed in 1978, the Belmont report provides ethical standards for human subject research. The standard has three main components:

- Respect for the person, which involves being truthful about the intentions of the work.
- Beneficence (“Do no Harm”), minimizing risk while maximizing benefits of the research.
- Justice, which implies the fair distribution of costs and benefits to subjects.29

However, many contemporary researchers emphasize that the Belmont principles are a bare minimum, rather than an adequate standard, as they don’t grant human subjects any agency in shaping research questions or allocating resources.

Take the positionality of marginalized communities seriously.
Where possible, research should center marginalized communities as decision-makers in research and meaning-making processes. This theme of delegating or sharing decision-making with research partners was emphasized by an academic researcher in the field of environmental design:

We had this cooperative model of a research team, with ‘one person one vote’ and trying to remove hierarchy in all our research processes from research design to communication to data collection, analysis, and publication. I see that cooperative model of research as partly being [racially just] and the members are all BIPOC and oftentimes first-gen student researchers.30

Tuiwiwai Smith outlines a standard for emancipatory research such that “when Indigenous peoples become the researchers and not merely the researched, the activity of research is transformed.”31 Many Indigenous scholars suggest that settler scholars involve themselves in participatory or collaborative research projects in which Indigenous people define research goals and objectives.

Seek critical feedback and reflect.
Reflexivity should be central throughout the research process, including through community participation and oversight, transparent reporting, and reflective practice, to ensure features that make the project anti-racist are maximally integrated. Postcolonial methods apply and modify existing methods of the social sciences in order to remember who has been silenced, erased, and oppressed within colonial/postcolonial contexts and to rethink the predominant stories in order to promote multiplicity and reflectivity.32

BARRIERS
Here we summarize the opportunities and challenges identified through the interviews.

The Service Burden
In our interviews, we found that the biggest barrier to anti-racist research was the burden on BIPOC faculty, students, and staff to fill the gap in knowledge, expertise, and lived experience. Because the demands of these research practices are different from traditional efforts, they often require taking on additional leadership roles as well as doing more logistical, relational, and emotional labor to shift and adapt departmental processes (contracts, budgets, IRB review, and oversight).

Underrepresentation
The institutional failures leading to underrepresentation of racial/ethnic minorities in student, faculty, staff, and departmental leadership positions mean that anti-racist research is either conducted by minoritized scholars in the absence of a supportive community or by who lack lived experience. For example, an academic researcher in the field of landscape architecture described the importance of recruiting diverse faculty and students as follows:

I think we have to work on attracting diverse faculty and students because you can have this monolith of people that are doing this kind of research, or you can have a diversity of people doing this kind of research. If this research is about equity and inclusion and racial justice, the institution or people that are doing this should practice that. You can be researching it and not practicing it in your own life.33

Tension Comes with Anti-Racist Work
Many scholars find a departmental culture that can’t hold or manage the tension, conflict, and discomfort that come along with having the difficult conversations and navigating power dynamics needed to address racism.

Lack of Training and Mentorship
The scholars we spoke with mentioned that many staff and faculty do not have the technical knowledge and skill to conduct or teach anti-racist research. The unfunded work of teaching those who lack this capability is often shouldered by faculty/researchers who do, who are often folks of color. They also highlighted
a shortage of humility and cultural competency, both of which are necessary to support Black and Brown students and faculty.

What is the “Field” of Field Research?
The scholars we spoke with mentioned that many staff and faculty do not have the technical knowledge and skill to teach anti-racist research. The unfunded work of teaching those who lack this capability is often shouldered by faculty/researchers who do, who are often folks of color. They also highlighted a shortage of humility and cultural competency, both of which are necessary to support Black and Brown students and faculty.

SUPPORTS
Meaningful (and Resourced) Commitment
The scholars we spoke with mentioned that many staff and faculty do not have the technical knowledge and skill to teach or conduct anti-racist research. The unfunded work of teaching those who lack this capability is often shouldered by faculty/researchers who do, who are often folks of color. They also highlighted a shortage of humility and cultural competency, both of which are necessary to support Black and Brown students and faculty.

Promoting The Research
Departments, schools, and colleges/universities should highlight projects that advance racial justice in departmental or school seminars, and promote their leadership. This makes clear that fields of academic knowledge that seek to transform unequal power relations (see above) are valued. Many interviewees expressed that they did not feel supported by their departments or schools to pursue racially just research. An academic researcher in the field of architecture stated that:

I have always gotten more support for my work outside of any institution that I’ve worked at, than from the institution itself... From like-minded colleagues, but also from publishers, various conferences, various awarding institutions or agencies, from those places rather than the folks that I worked with on a daily basis.  

Include Racially Marginalized People in Leadership
Ensuring that staff, faculty, and departmental leadership includes people from marginalized groups in formal leadership positions creates a sense of institutional support and increases the likelihood that commitments will be meaningfully resourced. An academic researcher in the field of architecture described the expressed lack of support for racially just research from leadership in their department, and expressed skepticism in occasional demonstrations of interest by leadership based on “fads” or events that heighten awareness:

What makes [racially just research] possible is your desire to do it. Until the last year and a half, with the Black Lives Matter movement and other similar events, now Black is the new Black. Prior to that, you just had to do it. There was no institutional support. There were very little avenues for financial support. It just didn’t exist. You just had to sort of stay the course and do it. I was told early in my career that you’ll never gain tenure doing this, and this was from a dean who told me this.  

Departmental Norms and Positionality
Foster departmental culture and norms that supports communication, hold tension and conflict, and support collaborative contribution, relational resilience, openness, and transparency. This also requires that institutions confront their roles in and contributions to racial inequities and that they work with communities of color to correct them (e.g., recruit students, staff, and faculty from those communities or conduct research that advances their planning and development priorities).

CONCLUSION
Our research team identified pathways for developing and supporting anti-racist research agendas for architects, planners, and designers through our fields scan and interviews. Above, we outlined opportunities for and challenges to anti-racist research developed and believe that these should guide institutions in supporting this work. Research must clearly establish a theory of change and articulate a framework through which it will be advancing racial justice in your context. Such a theory is change is supported by the eight additional pillars identified above. Many...
existing anti-racist research projects create a guiding principles document or manifesto which often align, broadly, with the supporting themes we identified, suggesting means of acting ethically and challenging power in the world.

Our review of the institutional challenges to and supports for anti-racist research showed that the biggest challenge is the institutional labor—unpaid, emotional, and otherwise—that frequently falls on BIPOC faculty, staff and students. BIPOC faculty, often junior faculty, are frequently responsible for training other community members to conduct anti-racist research ethically, even while defending its necessity and value. They also often become responsible for starting and facilitating dialogue around racism. This additional responsibility and labor should be compensated or recognized as a service to the institution. Fieldwork spaces were identified as a significant challenge because they currently focus on white spaces and, therefore, reinforce a dominant, colonizer narrative of architectural space. We must balance the spaces of practice and study with non-normative geographies to help to counter that narrative. However, this brings us back to the first challenge: BIPOC faculty often have the burden of opening these spaces for investigation or helping their colleagues work in them.

On the other hand, supports involve materially supporting and valuing anti-racist work, including placing importance on partnerships and positionality. Communication elevates the work, counters dominant narratives, shows it is valuable to the organization and guides others interested in performing anti-racist research. University overhead is a problem for researchers who often do this work on a shoestring budget and for partners who lose already-scarce funds to large overhead fees. We must identify novel ways to support to creatively offset the overhead costs associated with long-term partnership.

ENDNOTES

1. Our interview protocol was subject to, and approved by, the MIT Institutional Review Board (protocol 2104000349). In selecting key informants, we limited our pool of potential subjects to senior scholars and practitioners. We understood this to be a trade-off; on the one hand, we potentially missed cutting-edge approaches and frameworks, and barriers that are unique to junior scholars and practitioners. However, we also wanted to eliminate any tacit pressure that junior scholars might feel to participate; we also recognized that junior scholars might face higher risks of reprisal.
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